

INTERROGATIVE VERBAL PARADIGMS IN ABAZA

Peter Arkadiev

*Institute of Slavic Studies, Russian Academy of Sciences /
Russian State University for the Humanities / Vilnius University*
alpgurev@gmail.com

1. Introduction

Marking of *polar* questions by means of verbal morphology is a fairly widespread phenomenon cross-linguistically (Dryer 2013). By contrast, morphological marking of *content* questions has not been discussed in the theoretical and typological literature so far.

From the point of view of information structure, content questions consist of focus and presupposition (Lambrecht 1994: 282):

(1) *What*_{focus} [*are you reading*]_{presupposition}?

Hence it is no surprise that most languages of the world employ special lexical means to encode the focus of a question, i.e. question/wh-words like *who* or *where* (Ultan 1978: 53; Siemund 2001: 1018).

In many languages content questions are structurally similar to *clefted focus constructions* with the question word serving as the predicate and the presupposition expressed as a headless relative clause:

FRENCH

- (2) a. [*Qu'est-ce*] [*que tu lis*]?
what-is-it that you read
'What are you reading?'
- b. *Tu es très triste à la fin de chaque roman* [*que tu lis*].
novel that you read
'You are sad at the end of each novel that you read.'¹

This is the common strategy in the North Caucasian languages (Sumbatova 2009):

WEST CIRCASSIAN (Northwest Caucasian > Circassian; own fieldwork data)

- (3) a. [*səd-a*] [*wə-z-a-ž'e-re-r*]?
what-Q 2SG.ABS-REL.IO-DAT-read-DYN-ABS
'What are you reading?'
- b. *txəλ-ew wə-z-a-ž'e-re-r*
book-ADV 2SG.ABS-REL.IO-DAT-read-DYN-ABS
'the book that you are reading'

The Northwest Caucasian languages Abaza and Abkhaz also employ this strategy:

ABAZA (Northwest Caucasian > Abkhaz-Abaza; own fieldwork data)

- (4) [*á-jša*] [*j-χʷən-gəl-wə*] [*ačʷə-ja*]?
DEF-table REL.ABS-LOC-stand-PRS.NFIN what-QN
'What is (lit. stands) on the table?'

☞ However, overt question words in Abaza and Abkhaz are **optional!**

¹ <https://bit.ly/2FlusNW>, accessed 24.04.2018.

- (4) *á-jša* *j-χ^wən-gála-ja?*
 DEF-table REL.ABS-LOC-stand-QN
 ‘= (4)’

Abaza is typologically outstanding in that it can express both focus and presupposition of content questions morphologically in the single polysynthetic verbal form. Hence, question formation in this language is not only a syntactic, but also, and primarily, a morphological phenomenon.

Despite the fact that basically the same strategy of formation of content questions has been described for the closely related Abkhaz in Hewitt (1979a: 10–23), this typological *rarissimum* has remained unnoticed by typologists, not being mentioned in such surveys as Ultan (1978), Siemund (2001), Velupillai (2012: 356–359); the only exception is Idiatov (2007: 271–278), whose discussion of Abaza is based on the data from Genko (1955: 105–107).

NB The fully productive interrogative inflectional morphology of Abkhaz-Abaza should not be confused with the so called *interrogative verbs* like Mandarin *gànmá* ‘do.what’ (see Hagège 2008): the latter express interrogativity and often indefiniteness (Munro 2012) as part of their lexical meaning and always form closed classes.

This paper is primarily based on the fieldwork data of Tapanta Abaza collected in July 2017 in the village Inžič-Čukun (*jənžəg’-č’k^wán*), Abaza district of the Karachay-Cherkes Republic (Russia), during the fieldtrip organized by the Higher School of Economics and the Russian State University for the Humanities. The work is financed by the Russian Science Foundation, grant # 17-18-01184.

2. Basic features of Abaza

– Polysynthesis and consistent head-marking: all arguments of the predicate are expressed by prefixal pronominal markers in the verbal word (5); by contrast, overt nominals cross-referenced in the verb do not show any case marking (6).

- (5) *j-šə-z-j-a-s-h^w-p̄*
 3SG.N.ABS-2PL.IO-BEN-3SG.M.IO-DAT-1SG.ERG-say-NPST.DCL
 ‘I will tell this to him about you.’ (textual example)

- (6) *ph^wəs-k_i* *l-sabəj_j* *d_j-ʕa-l_i-q-aštələ-n*
 woman-INDF 3SG.F.IO-child 3SG.H.ABS-DIR-3SG.F.IO-LOC-forget-PST.DCL
 ‘A woman forgot about her child.’ (textual example)

The verb also includes affixes expressing aspectual, modal, temporal and spatial meanings as well as the independent vs. dependent status of predication. See the schematic structure of the verbal word in Table 1.

Table 1. The structure of the Abaza verbal complex

-11	-10	-9	-8	-7	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4	+5	+6	+7
absolutive	subordinators, negation	potential	directional preverbs	applicatives	locative preverbs	indirect object	ergative	negation	causative	sociative	root	directional suffixes	event operators	plural	aspect	negation	tense and mood	subordinators, force

Agglutinating morphotactics in Abaza is coupled with a considerable degree of opacity of form–function relations, especially in the domain of TAM and negation; positional variability, grammatically conditioned allomorphy, multiple exponence and morphomic distribution of formatives are observed.

– Ergativity manifested in the *absolute* vs. *oblique* (ergative and indirect object) series of pronominal markers, see Table 2 and (7a–c). Note the important distinction between human and nonhuman 3rd person markers.

Table 2. Pronominal prefixes

	absolute	oblique		absolute	oblique
1Sg	<i>s(ə)</i> -/z-		1Pl	<i>h(ə)</i> -/ʕ-	
2SgM	<i>w(ə)</i> -		2Pl	<i>š(ə)</i> -/ž-	
2SgF	<i>b(ə)</i> -/p-		3Pl	<i>j(ə)</i> -	<i>r(ə)</i> -/d(ə)-
3SgM	<i>d(ə)</i> -	<i>j(ə)</i> -	REL	<i>j(ə)</i> -	<i>z(ə)</i> -
3SgF		<i>l(ə)</i> -			
3SgN	<i>j(ə)</i> -/∅-	<i>na</i> -/a-			

- (7) a. ***h-bzáza-d***
 1PL.ABS-live(AOR)-DCL
 ‘We lived.’ (textual example)
- b. ***awáʔa hə-ca-də-r-ça-χ-nás***
 there 1PL.ABS-LOC-3PL.ERG-CAUS-lie-RE-PURP
 ‘so that they bury us there’ (textual example)
- c. ***á-sabəj-k^wa-gʔəj bzəj jə-ʕ-b-əj-t***
 DEF-child-PL-ADD good 3PL.ABS-1PL.ERG-see-PRS-DCL
 ‘We love children.’ (textual example)

– A distinction between “finite” (declarative) and “non-finite” TAM-forms, see Table 3; the latter serve as the basis for negative and interrogative forms occurring as independent predicates. Note that declarative forms are often more complex.

Table 3. Declarative vs. non-finite forms of major tenses

		declarative	non-finite
static verbs	present	-p̣/-b	-wə
	past	-n	-z
dynamic verbs	present	-əj-t/-əj-d	-wa
	imperfect	-wa-n	-wa-z
	aorist	-t/-d	(-z)
	future	-wa-š-t/-wa-š-d	-wa-š

– Omnipredicativity: each content word can serve as a predicate and bear (stative) verbal morphology without any further derivational means:

- (8) ***j-k^wəž^ʔma-p̣***
 3SG.N.ABS-wolf-NPST.DCL
 ‘It is a wolf.’ (Tabulova 1976: 104)

– Relativization marking of the “West Caucasian type” (Hewitt 1979b, Kibrik 1992, Caponigro & Polinsky 2011, Lander 2012): relative markers belong to the paradigm of pronominal prefixes (see REL in Table 2) and occupy the same position in the wordform (cf. the notion of “wh-agreement”, O’Herin 2002: Ch. 8):

- (9) a. *sara a-ph^wəspa ĉa lə-s-t-t*
 1SG DEF-girl apple [3SG.N.ABS]3SG.F.IO-1SG.ERG-give(AOR)-DCL
 ‘I gave an apple to the girl.’
- b. [*sara a-ph^wəspa j_i-lə-s-tə-z*] *a-ĉa_i*
 1SG DEF-girl REL.ABS-3SG.F.IO-1SG.ERG-give-PST.NFIN DEF-apple
 ‘the apple I gave to the girl’
- c. [*sara ĉa zə_i-s-tə-z*] *a-ph^wəspa_i*
 1SG apple [3SG.N.ABS]REL.IO-1SG.ERG-give-PST.NFIN DEF-girl
 ‘the girl whom I gave an apple’
- d. [*a-ph^wəspa ĉa lə-z_i-tə-z*] *a-č^wḱ^wən_i*
 DEF-girl apple [3SG.N.ABS]3SG.F.IO-REL.ERG-give-PST.NFIN DEF-boy
 ‘the boy who gave an apple to the girl’

Some adjunct verbal forms also follow this pattern:

- (10) (textual examples)
- a. [*h-aš^w-ahba d-an-ḡa-j-χ*] *asqan*
 1PL.IO-brother-elder 3SG.H.ABS-REL.TEMP-DIR-go-RE time
 ‘when my elder brother returned home’ (lit. the time when...)
- b. [*h-ʔa-nχa-wá*] *ápχ^warta*
 1PL.ABS-REL.LOC-work-IPF DEF.school
 ‘the school where we work’
- c. *a-sabəj-k^wa... a-rqa a-pnə jə-š-na-r-g-wa-z*
 DEF-child-PL DEF-field 3SG.N.IO-to 3PL.ABS-REL.MNR-DIR-3PL.ERG-bring-IPF-PST.NFIN
 ‘how they brought children to the field’

3. Interrogative verbal forms in Abaza

3.1. Argument questions

Relative verbal forms with a relative prefix indicating the role of the question variable in the proposition + an interrogative suffix showing the human (-*da*) vs. non-human (-*ja*) class of the variable.

– absolutive intransitive subject

- (11) a. *j-ḡa-ka-šá-ja?* b. *j-ḡa-ka-šá-da?*
 REL.ABS-DIR-LOC-fall(AOR)-QN REL.ABS-DIR-LOC-fall(AOR)-QH
 ‘What fell?’ ‘Who fell?’

– absolutive transitive object

- (12) a. *jə-b-bá-da?* b. *j-ḡá-b-g-ja?*
 REL.ABS-2SG.F.ERG-see(AOR)-QH REL.ABS-DIR-2SG.F.ERG-bring(AOR)-QN
 ‘Whom did you see?’ ‘What did you bring?’

– ergative transitive subject

- (13) a. *w-ḡa-z-rə-há-ja?* b. *wə-z-bá-da?*
 2SG.M.ABS-DIR-REL.ERG-CAUS-fear(AOR)-QN 2SG.M.ABS-REL.ERG-see(AOR)-QH
 ‘What frightened you?’ ‘Who saw you?’

– indirect object

- (14) *wə-z-pš-wá-da?*
 2SG.M.ABS-REL.IO-look-IPF-QH
 ‘Whom are you looking at?’

– objects of applicatives (on Abaza applicatives see O’Herin 2001)

(15) *j-z-zə-b-χʷʕá-da?*
 3SG.N.ABS-REL.IO-BEN-2SG.F.ERG-buy(AOR)-QH
 ‘Whom did you buy it for?’ (benefactive)

(16) *wə-z-ĉ-ŝa-wá-ja?*
 2SG.M.ABS-REL.IO-MAL-fear-IPF-QN
 ‘What do you fear?’ (malefactive)

(17) *bə-z-c-náqʷa-wa-da?*
 2SG.F.ABS-REL.IO-COM-walk-IPF-QH
 ‘With whom do you walk?’ (comitative)

(18) *swəp z-la-rə-ĝ-wa-ja?*
 сʏп REL.IO-INS-3PL.ERG-drink-IPF-QN
 ‘What do people eat soup with?’ (instrumental)

Adnominal possessors are encoded as indirect objects (19a), and questions about the possessor are formed accordingly, cf. (19b) with the possessed noun as predicate.

(19) a. *s-pa* b. *də-z-p-wá-da?*
 1SG.IO-son 3SG.H.ABS-REL.IO-son-PRS.NFIN-QH
 ‘my son’ ‘Whose son is he?’

Questioning the possessor of an argument is achieved by (i) forming a clefted structure with the relevant nominal as the predicate and (ii) questioning the possessor of the latter in the regular way, cf. (20).

(20) *jə-z_k-sabəj_i-wə-da_k* [*a-páχ*’ *j_i-na-ŕʷʕá-wa*]
 3PL.ABS-REL.IO-child-PRS.NFIN-QH DEF-yard REL.ABS-LOC-run-IPF
 ‘Whose children are running in the garden?’ (lit. Who is the one whose children are those who are running in the garden?)

Reflexivization of verbs with indirect objects is expressed by doubling the relevant pronominal prefix (21a); in relative and interrogative forms targeting the subject of such verbs the relative prefix is also doubled (21b):

(21) a. *hara ĉəc-ra š’arda h-hə-r-dər-d.*
 we new-MSD many [3SG.N.ABS]1PL.IO-1PL.ERG-CAUS-know-DCL
 ‘We learned (lit. caused ourselves to know) a lot of new things.’
 b. *awəj z-zə-r-dər-wa-z-da?*
 that REL.IO-REL.ERG-CAUS-know-IPF-PST.NFIN-QH
 ‘Who learned that?’

Interrogative forms, like relative forms in general (22), can attach the nominal plural suffix *-kʷa*, appearing before the tense-aspect suffixes (23):

(22) [*awaʔa j-ŝa-ta-χa-kʷa-z*] *abaza-kʷa r-akʷa-ḗ*
 there REL.ABS-DIR-be.at-INS-PL-PST.NFIN Abaza-PL 3PL.IO-COP-NPST.DCL
 ‘Those who remained there are the Abaza.’ (textual example)

(23) *jə-w-c-kʷa-z-da?*
 REL.ABS-2SG.M.IO-be.together-PL-PST.NFIN-QH
 ‘With whom.PL were you?’ (textual example)

☞ Independently occurring question words ‘who’ and ‘what’ in Abaza (cf. O’Herin 2002: Ch. 8) are either synchronically or transparently diachronically interrogative verbal forms of the same type (Genko 1955: 105–107; Idiatov 2007: 271–278) forming the predicate of a clefted construction:

- (24) *d-z-ač^wə-ja* *awat* *a-č-k^wa* *ʕa-z-rə-ha-z?*
 3SG.H.ABS-REL.IO-belong-QH those DEF-horse-PL DIR-REL.ERG-CAUS-be.afraid-PST.NFIN
 ‘Who frightened those horses?’, lit. ‘What does the one who frightened those horses belong to?’ (Pazov 2016)

3.2. Adjunct questions

Adverbial relative forms with the adverbial interrogative prefix *-ba-/-pa-* immediately following the relative prefix, cf. (10a–c) above.

- (25) *h-an-ba-də-r-č^ʔ-wə-š?*
 1PL.ABS-REL.TEMP-QADV-3PL.ERG-CAUS-eat-IPF-FUT
 ‘When will they give us food?’
- (26) *j-ʔa-ba-ʕa-z-g-wa-šə-z*
 3SG.N.ABS-REL.LOC-QADV-DIR-1SG.ERG-bring-IPF-FUT-PST.NFIN
 ‘Where would I have taken it from?’ (textual example)
- (27) *r-wəs* *š-pa-c-wə-š?*
 3PL.IO-work REL.MNR-QADV-go-IPF-FUT
 ‘How will their work go on?’ (textual example)

However, questions targeting goal and purpose follow the model of argument questions and are indistinguishable from questions about indirect objects but for the fact that the reason relative prefix occurs farther from the root:

- (28) *wá-nbž^ʔaʕ^w-ča-k^wa* *z-ʕá-wə-m-d-ja?*
 2SG.M.IO-friend-PL.H-PL REL.RSN-DIR-2SG.M.ERG-NEG-lead(AOR)-QN
 ‘Why didn’t you bring your friends with you?’ (textual example)

Cross-linguistically, this pattern of encoding of goal/purpose questions is fairly common, cf. Lithuanian *wh*-word *kam* ‘to whom; what for’.

☞ There are no independently occurring simple or complex expressions like ‘where’, ‘when’ or ‘how’ in Abaza, the morphology just described being the only way of encoding adjunct questions in this language.

4. Discussion

The expression of content questions by means of productive affixal morphology found in Abaza and, *mutatis mutandis*, in Abkhaz, clearly is typologically uncommon. Another language reported in the literature where some content interrogatives are expressed by verbal morphology is Baure (Arawakan, Danielsen 2007: 368–370).

Nevertheless, the peculiar interrogative verbal forms in Abaza and Abkhaz seem to naturally fall out from an interaction of several more general strategies common for all Northwest Caucasian languages:

- (i) formation of content questions by means of clefts;
- (ii) morphological marking of relativization, coupled with
- (iii) the highly elaborated expression of grammatical and semantic roles of arguments in the polysynthetic verbal complex.

Given that the interrogative suffixes themselves appear to contain the regular third person singular absolutive prefixes of the human (*d-*) resp. non-human (*j-*) class (cf. Genko 1955: 107), one might hypothesize that the argument interrogative verbal forms stem from the univerbation of the original cleft constructions with a generic question word in the predicate position:

- (29) [*wə-z-pš-wá*] *d-a?* > *wə-z-pš-wá-da?* (= 14)
 2SG.M.ABS-REL.IO-look-IPF 3SG.H.ABS-Q 2SG.M.ABS-REL.IO-look-IPF-QH
 ‘Who is it that you are looking at?’ > ‘Whom are you looking at?’

The univerbation of the relative verbal form with the question predicate must have been due to their linear adjacency and the very general meaning of the original question word. Indeed, for Abkhaz, the human interrogative suffix *-da* is claimed to go back to the independent question word *d-árban* ‘who’ (cf. Aristava et al. 1968: 119, Šakryl 1961: 84).

The problem with the scenario just outlined lies in the fact that it is not fully supported by comparative evidence. In Abkhaz, the non-human interrogative suffix looks like *-j* (Hewitt 1979a: 12–15) (30a), and can appear not only in argument questions but in adjunct questions as well (30b):

ABKHAZ (Hewitt 1979a: 12, 14, transcription and glossing adapted)

- (30) a. *j-aa-χ'é-j?* b. *d-an-bá-ce-j?*
 REL.ABS-come-PRF-QN 3SG.H.ABS-REL.TEMP-QADV-go-QN
 ‘What has already come?’ ‘When did he go?’

Moreover, the admittedly cognate suffix *-j* is used to mark all types of content questions in Ubykh (Fenwick 2011: 133–134), which does not employ relativization and has ordinary question words in argument positions:

UBYKH (Fenwick 2011: 133, transcription and glossing adapted)

- (31) a. *jəné š'ə-n be-š^wə-wt^w-qé-ne-j?*
 this who-OBL LOC-2PL.ERG-take.out-PST-PL-Q
 ‘From whom did you take this?’

This suggests that interrogative forms with *-j(a)* and *-da* may have different origins, and that their symmetry observed in Abaza is an innovation.

Besides that, the origins of the adverbial interrogative prefix *-ba* are obscure (Idiatov 2007: 272, fn. 31), but it is clear that the adverbial interrogative forms have a different source than the argument interrogatives.

Regardless of the putative diachronic scenarios, the Abaza and Abkhaz interrogative verbal forms make a valuable and unique contribution to our understanding of the ways content questions may be formed, as well as of the array of functions that inflectional morphology can express.

Abbreviations

ABS — absolutive; ADD — additive; ADV — adverbial; AOR — aorist; BEN — benefactive; CAUS — causative; COM — comitative; COP — copula; DAT — dative; DCL — declarative; DEF — definite; DIR — directional preverb; DYN — dynamicity; ERG — ergative; F — feminine; FUT — future; H — human; INDF — indefinite; INS — instrumental; IO — indirect object; IPF — imperfective; LOC — locative; M — masculine; MAL — malefactive; MNR — manner; MSD — masdar; N — non-human; NEG — negation; NFIN — non-finite; NPST — nonpast; OBL — oblique; PL — plural; PRF — perfect; PRS — present; PST — past; PURP — purposive;

Q — interrogative; RE — refactive; REL — relativizer; RSN — reason; SG — singular; TEMP — temporal.

References

- Aristava Š. K. et al. 1968. *Grammatika abxazskogo jazyka. Fonetika i morfologija* [A Grammar of Abkhaz. Phonetics and Morphology]. Sukhumi: Alašara.
- Caponigro I. & M. Polinsky. 2011. Relative embeddings: A Circassian puzzle for the syntax/semantics interface. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 29/1, 71–122.
- Danielsen S. 2007. *Baure. An Arawak Language of Bolivia*. Leiden: CNWS Publications.
- Dryer M. 2013. Polar Questions. In: M. Dryer & M. Haspelmath (eds.), *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology. (Available online at <http://wals.info/chapter/116>, Accessed on 2017-11-05.)
- Fenwick R. S. H. 2011. *A Grammar of Ubykh*. München: LINCOM Europa.
- Genko A. N. 1955. *Abazinskij jazyk. Grammatičeskij očerk narečija tapanta*. [The Abaza language. A grammatical sketch of the Tapanta dialect] Moscow: Izdatel'stvo AN SSSR.
- Hagège Cl. 2008. Towards a typology of interrogative verbs. *Linguistic Typology* 12/1, 1–44.
- Hewitt B. G. 1979a. *Abkhaz*. Amsterdam: North Holland.
- Hewitt B. G. 1979b. The relative clause in Abkhaz (Abžui dialect). *Lingua* 47, 151–188.
- Idiatov D. 2007. *A Typology of Non-Selective Interrogative Pronominals*. PhD Dissertation, Universiteit Antwerpen.
- Kibrik A. A. 1992. Relativization in polysynthetic languages. *International Journal of American Linguistics* 58/2, 135–157.
- Lambrecht K. 1994. *Information Structure and Sentence Form*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lander Yu. A. 2012. *Reljativizacija v polisintetičeskem jazyke: adygejskie odnositel'nye konstrukcii v tipologičkoj perspektive* [Relativization in a polysynthetic language: Adyghe relative clauses in typological perspective]. PhD Dissertation, Russian State University for the Humanities, Moscow.
- Munro P. 2012. Interrogative verbs in Takic. *UCLA Working Papers in Linguistics* 17, 274–284.
- O'Herin B. 2001. Abaza applicatives. *Language* 77/3, 477–493.
- O'Herin B. 2002. *Case and Agreement in Abaza*. Arlington: SIL International.
- Pazov S. U. 2016. Voprositel'nye glagol'nye formy v strukture voprositel'nogo predloženiya v abazinskom jazyke. [Interrogative verbal forms in the structure of interrogative sentences in Abaza] In: *Adige filolojisi. Güncel konular*. Düzce üniversitesi, 129–137.
- Siemund P. 2001. Interrogative constructions. In: M. Haspelmath et al. (eds.), *Language Typology and Language Universals. An International Handbook*. Vol. 2. Berlin, New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 1010–1028.
- Sumbatova N. 2009. Constituent questions and argument-focus constructions: some data from the North-Caucasian languages. In: J. Helmbrecht et. al. (eds.). *Form and Function in Language Research. A Festschrift for Christian Lehmann*. Berlin, New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 313–328.
- Šakryl K. S. 1961. *Affiksacija v abxazskom jazyke* [Affixation in Abkhaz]. Sukhumi: Abgosizdat.
- Tabulova N. T. 1976. *Grammatika abazinskogo jazyka. Fonetika i morfologija* [A Grammar of Abaza: Phonetics and Morphology]. Čerkessk: Karačaevo-Čerkesskoe otdelenie Stavropol'skogo knižnogo izdatel'stva.
- Ultan R. 1978. Some general characteristics of interrogative systems. In: *Universals of human language*. No. 4, 211–248.
- Velupillai V. 2012. *An Introduction to Linguistic Typology*. Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins.