Non-Canonical Case Patterns in Lithuanian

Cori Anderson
Princeton University
Contemporary Approaches to Baltic Linguistics
Moscow, October 7-8, 2009
coria@princeton.edu

1. Introduction¹

The "wrong" Case:

- Oblique Passive:
- (1) **Darbininkas** buvo advokato atstovautas Worker-NOM.M.SG was lawyer-GEN represented-PASS-M-SG 'The worker was represented by the lawyer'
- Dative/Genitive Objects of Purpose Clauses:
- (2) Padovanau vyrui patogų krėslą **knygai** skaityti give-PST-1-SG husband-DAT comfortable-ACC chair-ACC book-DAT to-read 'I gave my husband a comfortable chair to read books in'
- (3) Berniukas nuėjo į parduotuvę **pieno** nupirkti boy-NOM PREF-go-PST to store-ACC milk-GEN PREF-to-buy 'The boy went to the store to buy milk
- Instrumental Alternations:
- (4) Mergaitė apsirengė **džinsais** girl-NOM PERF-SI-dress-PAST jeans-INST 'The girl put on jeans'

Not wrong, just misunderstood: narrow view of Case theory

By expanding Case theory, the Lithuanian data are unexceptional

2. Case Theory

• Standard Theory: Structural vs. Inherent (Chomsky 1981, 1986)

o Structural Case: regular, predictable. Generally, Nominative subjects, Accusative objects. Licensed by a functional head: T for Nominative, v for Accusative

¹ Many thanks to those who helped me with data and judgments: Ada Valaitis, Žydrunė Mladineo, and Virginija Vasiliauskienė, who also brought the oblique passive data to my attention originally. Thanks to Jim Lavine for discussing the Left-Edge data with me, and Len Babby and Robert Freidin for helping me with my analyses. All usual disclaimers apply.

- o Inherent Case: non-structural: determined by a lexical item, associated with a theta role
- Other types of Case:
 - Lexical Case (aka Quirky Case; Babby 1986, Richardson 2007, inter alia)
 Unpredictable, strong lexical requirement of the verb
 Cannot be overridden in Case Conflicts
 - Semantic Case (Babby 1986, 1994, Richardson 2007)
 Direct contribution to meaning of the sentence
 Bare-NP adverbs
 Association with theta role
 Alternations based on semantic features, e.g. definiteness or animacy
 - Inherent Case redefined (Woolford 2006)
 Predictable from the theta role: Dative on Goal/Beneficiary, Ergative on Agent Possibly licensed by an applicative head
- Lithuanian (and Slavic, at least) need:

Lexical: Oblique Passive

Inherent: Dative goals/beneficiaries, Dative experiencers, Purpose clauses

Semantic: Instrumental alternations

- Problems:
 - o Difference between Semantic and Inherent
 - Licensing

3. Oblique Passives

- Verbs that take Genitive and Dative arguments can passivize (Ambrazas 2007, contra Freidin 1996, Woolford 2006)
- (5) a. Mes atstovavome darbininkams/*darbininkus we represented workers-DAT/*ACC'We represented the workers'
 - b. Darbininkai/*-ams buvo musų atstovaujami workers-M-PL-NOM/*DAT were us-GEN represented-M-PL 'The workers were represented by us'
- Not structural case: no alternation with Genitive of Negation
- (6) a. Jis megsta alų
 he like-PRES beer-M-ACC
 'He likes beer'

Cori Anderson Non-Canonical Case Patterns in Lithuanian

- b. Jis nemegsta alaus/*alų
 he NEG-like-PRES beer-M-GEN/*ACC
 'He doesn't like beer'
- (7) a. Jis atstovauja darbininkams he represent-PRES workers-DAT 'He represented the workers'
 - b. Jis neatstovauja darbininkams/*darbininkų he NEG-represent-PRES workers-DAT/*GEN 'He didn't represent the workers'
- Keep Case with deverbal nouns
- (8) a. Rašymas laiško/*laišką writing letter-GEN/*ACC 'the writing of letters
 - b. Vadovavimas darbininkams/*darbininkų representing workers-DAT/*GEN
 'the representing of the workers'
- Not for all instances of non-structural case
- (9) a. Jonas vadovauja fabrikui Jonas-NOM managed factory-DAT 'Jonas managed the factory'
 - b. Fabrikas/*-ui buvo Jono vadovaujamas factory-M-SG-NOM/*DAT was Jonas-GEN managed-M-SG 'The factory was managed by Jonas'
- (10) a. Jonas įeško namo Jonas-NOM looked-for house-GEN 'Jonas looked for the house'
 - Namas/*-o yra Jono įeškomas house-M-SG-NOM/*GEN is Jonas-GEN looked-for-M-SG 'The house is looked for by Jonas'
- (11) a. Studentai klauso radijo students-NOM listened-to radio-GEN 'The students listened to the radio'

b. Radijas/*-o paprastai yra studentų klausomas radio-M-SG-NOM/*GEN usually is students-GEN listened-to-M-SG 'The radio is usually listened to by students'

BUT:

- (12) a. Jonas padėjo vaikui Jonas-NOM helped child-DAT 'Jonas helped the child'
 - b. *Vaikas buvo Jono padėtas child-M-SG-NOM was Jonas-GEN helped-M-SG 'The child was helped by Jonas
- (13) a. Lietuva prekiauja gintaru Lithuania trades amber-M-SG-INST 'Lithuania trades (in) amber'
 - b. *Gintaras Lietuvos prekiaujamas Amber-M-SG-NOM Lithuania-GEN traded-M-SG 'Amber is traded by Lithuania'
- (14) a. Jis tikrai tiki savo teisumu He truly believes self's justice-INST 'He truly believes in his justice'
 - b. *Jo teisumas buvo visų tikėtas
 His justice-NOM was everyone-GEN believed-PASS
 'His justice was believed by everyone'
- Conclusions: not all non-structural objects behave the same with regard to passivization
- Passivization cannot be used as a determining factor for Inherent vs. Lexical Case
- Licensing: Lexical licensed below the passive head (Svenonius 2001)
- Problems:
 - o Instrumental: Maybe Inherent or Semantic Case?
 - o Impersonal passive: different case facts!

Non-Canonical Case Patterns in Lithuanian

4. Case in Purpose Clauses

- Dative (From Dambriunas 1966)
- (15) Jis samdo mane *darbui* dirbti
 He-NOM hire-PAST-3 me-ACC work-DAT to-do
 'He hired me to do *work*'
- (16) Jis nusipirko naują plunksną *tam svarbiam laiškui* rašyti He-NOM buy-PAST-3 new-ACC quill-ACC that-DAT important-DAT letter-DAT to-write 'He bought a new quill to write *that important letter*'
- Genitive (from Franks & Lavine 2006)
- (17) Išsiuntė mergaitę *vandens* atnešti sent-PST girl-ACC water-GEN PREF-to-bring 'They sent the girl to bring water'
- (18) Atvažiavo *kelio* taisyti arrive-PST road-GEN to-fix 'They came to fix the road'
- Issues:
 - Word order: stronger for Dative
 - o Genitive/Dative interpreted in two places
 - Optionality of infinitive
- (19) a. Iššovė žmonėms pagąsdinti PREF-fired people-DAT to-frighten 'He fired to frighten people'
 - b. *Iššovė žmonėms*'He fired for people'

Ambrazas 2007:557

- Franks & Lavine 2006
 - o Structural case: marked by a head, overriden with Lexical Case
 - Key issue: get the movement for Case without look-ahead (because the verb would assign Accusative)
 - Keep the NP active in the syntax so it can move: *v* doesn't discharge its Case feature, and NP moves to get Case
 - o Problems:
 - C with NP complement?
 - Accusative as a last resort?

• Without infinitive:

- o Dative appears to be Inherent Case, interpreted along the lines of Goal/Beneficiary
- o Genitive is Semantic Case: goals of motion are genitive
- o Could be Structural Case licensed by the upstairs verb of motion, which would account for the Genitive even with VO word order

• With the infinitive:

- o Puzzle: why does the object appear before the verb?
- o Note: intransitive infinitives are fine, as are Lexical Case verbs
- O Some infinitives are obligatory, as in (19) above, showing that the object is a constituent with the following verb
- Possible analysis: Relative clause
- (20) Pastatė daržinę [NP šienui [CP OP [TP sukrauti t]]]
 - o Gets the interpretation, and constituency
 - o Moving the object allows the Inherent Case to be licensed
 - Like an Applicative head licensing Datives in Spanish, etc., some purpose-clause head C_P licenses this Dative
 - o Inherent Case can be overridden by Lexical, but it overrides Structural

• Remaining Questions:

- o Are the bare-NP and infinitival object Datives the same thing?
- Why isn't the infinitive always optional? What's the difference in meaning where it's obligatory?
- Why does the NP move?
- o Why don't Lexical NPs move?

5. Instrumental alternations

- Verb classes that alternate between Accusative and Instrumental (from Ambrazas 2007)
 - Movement: body parts

(21) linguoti galva/galvą
karpyti ausimis/ausis
griežti/kalenti dantimis/dantis
skėsčioti/skeryčioti rankomis/rankas
traukyti/trūkčioti pečiais/pečius
vizginti uodega/uodegą

shake head-INST/ACC move one's ears-INST/ACC gnash/chatter teeth-INST/ACC throw up one's arms-INST/ACC shrug one's shoulders-INST/ACC wag tail-INST/ACC

Exceptions:

kratyti, kraipyti, purtyti galvą/galva supti kojas/*kojomis gūžčioti pečiais/*pečius shake head-ACC/*INST swing legs-ACC/*INST shrug shoulders-INST/*ACC

Cori Anderson

Non-Canonical Case Patterns in Lithuanian

Making sound

(22) barškinti indais/indus rattle crocker-INST/ACC žvanginti raktais/raktus jingle keys-INST/ACC

sambinti taurėmis/taures tinkle wineglasses-INST/ACC

trenkti durimis/duris bang door-INST/ACC sumušti kulnimus/kulnis click heels-INST/ACC birbinti vamzdeliu/vamzdelį play reed-pipe-INST/ACC

čirpinti smuiku/smuiką play (lit. 'make chirp') fiddle-INST/ACC

o Dressing

(23)

Dressing	Wearing	Translation	Items of clothing
rengtis	devėti	get dressed/wear	all clothes
autis	avėti	put on/wear shoes	shoes, boots
gaubtis	gobėti	wrap on/wear	wraps, shawls
juostis	juosėti	belt, girdle/wear a belt	belts
mautis	mūvėti	slide on/wear	gloves, pants, rings
ryštis	ryšėti	tie on/wear	scarves, ties
segtis	segėti	fasten, button/wear	skirts, broches, buttons
vilktis	vilkėti	cover, put on/wear	outerwear
_	nešioti	wear	all clothes, accessories

(24) Jonas avėsi naujus batus

Jonas put-shoes-PST-SI new-ACC shoes-ACC

- i. Jonas put on new shoes
- ii. Jonas took off new shoes

(25) Jonas avėsi naujais batus

Jonas put-shoes-PST-SI new-INST shoes-INST

- i. Jonas put on new shoes
- ii. *Jonas took off new shoes
- Prefixes can also be used to resolve ambiguities. In addition to a perfectivizing prefix for these verbs, there is a prefix that indicates a reversal, in this case removal of the clothing item:

(26) Jonas apsiavė naujus batus

Jonas PERF-SI-put-shoes-PST new-ACC shoes-ACC Jonas put on new shoes/*Jonas took off new shoes

(27) Jonas **nu**siavė naujus batus

Jonas PREF-SI-put-shoe-PST new-ACC shoes-ACC Jonas took off new shoes/*Jonas put on new soes

- Some prefixes add additional semantic context, or augment the number arguments these verbs can take:
- No Accusative if direct object is introduced
- (28) ap(si)rišti galvą skarele/*skarelę
 PREF-(SI)-to-tie head-ACC kercheif-INST/*ACC
 'to tie a kerchief around one's head'
- (29) susijuosti kelnes diržu/*diržą
 PREF-SI-to-girdle trousers-ACC belt-INST/*ACC
 'to girdle one's trousers with a belt'
- Only Accusative if PP or Dative NP
- (30) užsirišti ant galvos skarelę/*skarele PREF-SI-to-tie head-GEN kerchief-ACC/*INST 'to tie a kerchief on one's head'
- (31) susijuosti juostą/*juosta ant marškinių PREF-SI-to-put-on belt-ACC/*INST on shirt-GEN 'to tie a belt on one's shirt'
- (32) (į)segti sagę į suknelę (PREF)-to-fasten brooch-ACC to dress-ACC 'to fasten a brooch to one's dress'
- (33) auti batukus vaikui ant kojų to-put-on shoes-ACC child-DAT on feet-GEN 'to put shoes on a child'
- (30) (33) are similar to *spray/load* alternations, but what about without prefixes?
- Same patterns for verbs with *si* and without
- Could be different interpretation of *si* as Accusative or Dative, but what about non-reflexive verbs?
- Semantic Case?
 - o Alternation, semantic class of verbs
 - Assume theta role does not change
 - o Change in meaning?

Cori Anderson Non-Canonical Case Patterns in Lithuanian

6. Conclusion

- Case Theory isn't fully able to account for the Lithuanian data
- Where to go from here
 - o Issues of licensing: do different types of Case have different syntactic positions?
 - Further testing for differences in meaning and different behaviors
 - o Nominative objects, Accusative without subjects??

Bibliography

Ambrazas, V. et al. 2007. Lithuanian grammar. Vilnius: Baltos lankos.

Babby, L. 1986. "The Locus of Case Assignment and the Direction of Percolation: Case Theory and Russian", in R. Brecht & J. Levine (eds.) *Case in Slavic*. Columbus, OH: Slavica, 170-219.

_____. 1994. "Case theory." Carlos P. Otero, ed. *Noam Chomsky: Critical assessments* (Vol I: Linguistics: Tome II). London-New York: Routledge, 630-52.

Chomsky, N. 1986. Knowledge of language: Its Nature, Origin, and Use. New York: Praeger.

_____. 1995. *The Minimalist Program*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.

Dambriūnas, L, et al. 1966/1999. Beginner's Lithuanian. New York: Hippocrene Books.

Fowler, G. 1996. "Oblique passivization in Russian." *Slavic and East European Journal* 40, 3:519-545.

Freidin, R. 1992. Principles of Generative Grammar. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.

Franks, S. & J. Lavine. 2006. "Case and word order in Lithuanian." *Journal of Linguistics*, 42(2).

Richardson, K. 2008. Case and Aspect in Slavic. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Svenonius, P. 2005. Case alternations in the Icelandic passive. Ms. CASTL, Univ. of Tromsoe.

Wierzbicka, A. 1980. The case for surface case. Ann Arbor, MI: Karoma Publishers.

Woolford, E. 2006. "Lexical case, inherent case, and argument structure." *Linguistic Inquiry* 37 (1): 111-30.